[11th February 1955

& Co., Limited, in October 1952 to manufacture quink in collaboration with Parker Pen Company, Limited, London. The All-India Ink Manufacturers' Association protested against the policy of granting permission for the establishment of ink factories by foreigners on the ground that it would prejudicially affect the indigenous industry and that permission should not be granted. The representation was supported by this Government and forwarded to the Government of India. But the Government of India considered that ink suitable for Parker fountain pens was not available locally and that the entry of foreign concerns in the field would only introduce healthy competition in the industry. There the matter stands.''

SRI T. C. NARAYANAN NAMBIAR :—'' The Hon. Minister in his answer just now given was saying that there was no ink equivalent to quink ink as far as the Parker pens were concerned. It is not a fact as far as the experience of various people is concerned. Are the Government aware that the firms connected with the indigenous ink industry like Techno and Prabhath in Malabar and Iris in Madras are affected by Quink and other foreign firms and, if so, what steps do the Government intend to take to give protection to the indigenous industry? ''

THE HON. SRI M. BHAKTAVATSALAM :—'' Several users of Parker pens would not agree with the hon. Member that quink is not indispensable for using Parker pens. So far as quink is concerned, I do not think, taking into account its cost, it will compete with other inks that are manuufactured in the country.''

SRI M. NARAYANA KURUP :—'' Where is the safety valve that the particular ink intended only for Parker pen is not used by persons who use other pens thereby making the indigenous industry suffer? ''

THE HON. SRI M. BHAKTAVATSALAM :—'' From my own experience I can say that people cannot afford to use quink for other pens.''

Deaths from respiratory diseases in the City.

* 622 *Q.*—SRI N. K. PALANISAMY : Will the Hon. the Minister for Health be pleased to state whether the Government have instructed the Commissioner, Corporation of Madras, to investigate the causes of the high percentage of deaths in the City from respiratory diseases during 1953–54?

THE HON. SRI A. B. SHETTY :—'' In May 1954, while reviewing the Administration Report of the Corporation of Madras for 1952–53 the Commissioner of the Corporation was asked to investigate the causes for high percentage of deaths from respiratory disease.''

SRI N. K. PALANISAMY :—'' May I know whether the investigation has been completed and what the result of it is? ''

11th February 1955]

THE HON. SRI A. B. SHETTY :—" The Commissioner has since reported that it is not possible to attribute any special cause for the high percentage of respiratory diseases."

Extraction of aluminium in the Tiruchirappalli district.

* 623 *Q.*—SRI N. K. PALANISAMY : Will the Hon. the Minister for Agriculture be pleased to state whether a scheme for extracting aluminium in Tiruchirappalli has been submitted by the Government to the Planning Commission?

THE HON. SRI M. BHAKTAVATSALAM :—" No, Sir."

Inclusion of Tiruvottiyur within the limits of the Madras Corporation.

* 624 *Q.*—SRI A. RATNAM : Will the Hon. the Minister for Local Administration be pleased to state—

(*a*) whether the Government have received any representation from the residents of Tiruvottiyur requesting the Government to take immediate steps to include Tiruvottiyur within the Madras Corporation limits on the ground that the local panchayat has not been able to provide them with necessary amenities; and

(*b*) if so, the action taken by the Government thereon?

THE HON. SRI S. S. RAMASAMY PADAYACHI :—" (*a*) Yes, Sir.

" (*b*) The question has been deferred for the present."

* 625 *Q.*—SRI C. KANDASAMY : Will the Hon. the Minister for Local Administration be pleased to state—

(*a*) whether there is any proposal to include the suburb of Tiruvottiyur within the Madras City Corporation limits; and

(*b*) if so, the present stage of the proposal?

THE HON. SRI S. S. RAMASAMY PADAYACHI :—" The attention of the hon. Member is invited to the answer to question No. 624."

SRI A. RATNAM :—" திருவொற்றியூரை சென்னையில் சேர்க்க மறுப்பதற்குக் காரணம் என்ன என்று கூற முடியுமா ? "

THE HON. SRI S. S. RAMASAMY PADAYACHI :—" 1946-ம் ஆண்டில் மதருஸ் சுற்றுப்புரங்களிலிருந்து எடுத்துக்கொண்ட இடங்களுக்கே இன்னும் போதுமான வசதி கார்ப்பொரேஷனால் செய்து கொடுக்க முடியவில்லை. அங்கெல்லாம் வசதி செய்து கொடுத்த பின் இதைப்பற்றி கவனிக்கப்படும். "

SRI V. K. RAMASWAMY MUDALIAR :—" Are not the residents living in Saidapet and other areas which were recently included within the Corporation limits feeling that they could as well have remained outside the Corporation limits as they do not get better amenities for the higher taxation that they are subjected to now? "

MR. SPEAKER :—" It does not arise out of the main question. The main question refers to the inclusion of Tiruvottiyur within the limits of the Madras Corporation."